Why will Sections 10 and 11 of the Bill hurt Sound View and all other community access centers?

- It takes funds intended for an access center's <u>entire</u> public, educational and government access operations and diverts it for production of a very specific type of program.
- This diversion comes at the expense of other access producers and programming, and guts the budgets of all regional access centers, especially the existing smaller access centers.
- There is not a whole lot of funding that can be diverted to begin with.
- Existing access centers need and rely on the funding to pay for the entire access operations and fulfillment of their regional obligations, including P, E, and G, and for building rent, insurance, equipment, utilities, outreach efforts, training, audits, etc.
- It unfairly diverts access funds to one very small interest group within the public, educational and government (P,E, and G) access community.

Why do the proponents want the Bill sections passed?

- The proponents want this only because they want to be <u>paid</u> from the regional access centers' budgets to produce <u>their</u> programs and keep them separate and apart from the regional access centers.
- They want to replicate the existing regional access facilities and staff but only for the production of their own specific programming niche.
- They feel that their programming has a higher priority than other producers' programs.
- The proponents do not care about the impact this diversion would have on access producers from other communities within the franchise, especially the smaller communities (towns).